

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,112	04/08/2005	Jaume Baucells Granell	6647/006	2149
22440 759 GOTTLIER RAC	90 02/13/2007 CKMAN & REISMAN P	EXAMINER		
270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 100160601			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3676	
		····		
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/13/2007 PAPE		PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/522,112	BAUCELLS GRANELL, JAUME			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Estremsky	3676			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠						
,	,—					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims	. •				
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖾	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2006</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objecte	ed to by the Examiner			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U,S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
٠,,	1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau		· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attachmo-	McN					
Attachmen 1) Notic	((s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,112

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 12/5/06. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by W.O. Pat. Document No. 01/75842 to Netto.

Netto '842 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "head" – including 1,17, "with an elongated passage" the passage through 1, a "panel" – 18, a "thin body" – 8, a "weakened portion" – 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,112

Art Unit: 3676

5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over W.O. Pat. Document No. 01/75842 to Netto in view of France Pat. No. 2,632,431 to Malachowski.

Although one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that Netto '842 discloses locking means, the reference does not explicitly disclose locking structure comprising a "metal plate" as claimed. However, Malachowski '431 discloses that it is well known in the art of seals to provide a metal plate (200) as claimed. It would have been an obvious design choice or engineering expedient for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the seal of Netto '842 with a metal plate as taught by Malachowski '431 in order to securely bite into the plastic material in tamper-resistant locking engagement as intended as well known in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have more than a reasonable expectation of success since the proposed modification would not otherwise affect function of the seal. The outer and inner surface of 1, as shown and as modified to accommodate a metal portion as disclosed by Malachowski '431, defines "outer" and "inner tubular body" body.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something

disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. *Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 218 USPQ 789. Persuasive arguments should clearly point our claimed elements that are not taught by the prior art. There is however, no requirement for the prior art to use the same terminology for structures corresponding with the claimed elements. Claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-27/2-1000.

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676